| FREQUENTLY ASKED <br> QUESTIONS | RESPONSE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Why do we need to review <br> the Constitution of the Club? | The main reason is that the Constitution does not <br> fully comply with the laws governing Incorporated <br> Associations (i.e. the Club). |
| What laws apply to the Club? | The Incorporations Act 1964 (the Act) and the <br> Associations Incorporation (model Rules) <br>  <br> Regulations form the legal framework (the Law) for <br> the Club to operate within. |
| Why is that important? | Compliance with the Act and Regulations (the Law ) <br> is required for the Club to operate, hold a liquor <br> licence, employ staff and to affiliate with Bowls <br> Tasmania/NorthWest/Australia. |
| What does that mean for <br> Board members? | The Board is obligated under the Law to ensure <br> compliance with the Act and Regulations. |
| Why is the CEO proposing the |  |
| Review | The CEO is a graduate of the Australian Institute of <br> Company Directors (AICD) and understands his <br> obligation to act, for that ongoing role to be tenable. |
| Are there other reasons to <br> review the Constitution? | YES. The current Constitution is not clearly written <br> and contains a significant number of inconsistencies <br> and issues. |
| What is the biggest change | That the Board should be elected at the Club AGM <br> by the voting members present. This is a <br> required? |
| Isn't that what happens now? | No. The sub-committees are nominated and elected, <br> with the Board nominated by the sub-committees. <br> No call for Board nominations or open vote is held at <br> the Club AGM. |

[^0]| Why should the board be <br> elected at an AGM open to all <br> voting members? | Because the Regulations governing Incorporated <br> Associations (the Club) require this to happen. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Why is the Constitution as it <br> is? | The Constitution seems to reflect the past when the <br> Ladies and Mens clubs acted separately and in their <br> own right. The current Constitution confuses the <br> roles of the Board with the Mens and Ladies sub- <br> committees. |
| Why should members care? | The power of the sub-committees to nominate the <br> Board, rather than by direct election at a Club AGM <br> is not democratic and is more akin to a political <br> faction than an open election processes. |
| What do you mean by <br> undemocratic? | The principle of the equal voting right of each <br> eligible voting member present at the Club AGM is <br> not met, in regard to the Board election. |
| What does the Law say about <br> the election processes of the <br> sub-committees? | The Law focuses primarily on the practices of <br> electing and setting controls of the Board, not the <br> sub-committees. (Refer to Attachment 1) |
| Can we retain the sub- <br> committees? | YES. The Law allows for sub-committees to be <br> established and managed as the Board sees fit. <br> It is proposed that the Mens and Ladies committees <br> are recognised as "standing committees" within the <br> revised Constitution. |
| Is there help available to <br> undertake the review? | YES. Bowls Tasmania (BT) has recently produced an <br> updated Template (BT Template) for club <br> (onstitutions which complies with the Law and aligns <br> with Bowls Tasmania and Bowls Australia <br> constitutions. |
| advice received Legal | The Template was prepared in consultation with <br> Department of Communities Tasmania and a legal <br> firm which specialises in sports law (Lex Sportiva). <br> At this point no specific (paid) legal advice, but the <br> material provided by Justice Connect has been <br> reviewed. |


| Can the Bowls Tasmania <br> Template be modified? | YES. We can insert and change elements that reflect <br> the existing processes, membership rights, etc. that <br> reflect the current operation of the Club, where <br> they do not conflict with the Regulations. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Have other clubs adopted the <br> BT Template? | YES. Bowls Tasmania confirmed that Howrah, <br> Sheffield, South Burnie, Westbury and Bowls North <br> have implemented the template. |
| Will the Board still represent <br> the Mens and Ladies sub- <br> committees and members? | YES. The composition of the Board can be specified <br> to ensure ongoing representation by the Presidents <br> of the sub-committees. |
| Will the sub-committees still <br> have their own AGM and <br> elections? | YES, if they and the Board choose to. <br> The Law does not concern itself with sub-committee <br> practices. That is a matter for the Board to agree on. <br> No change is envisaged or proposed. |
| Do the sub-committee <br> operations need to be <br> covered by the Constitution? | NO. The sections which currently cover sub- <br> committee functions, elections, membership, roles <br> etc. could be covered by Club regulations or by-laws. |
| Why would you move the <br> sub-committee sections to <br> the regulations/by-laws? | This would allow minor changes to sub-committee <br> functions, process, role descriptions and elections to <br> be altered without having to update the Constitution <br> through a Special Resolution and Special General <br> Meeting. |
| If the Mens or Ladies <br> President changes will they <br> automatically go on the <br> Board? | It would be expected that an elected sub-committee <br> President would be nominated for a Board vacancy <br> at the nearest Club AGM. |
| What do you mean by Board <br> vacancy? | Prior to each AGM, a number of the Board members <br> would vacate their positions and either stand for re- <br> election at the AGM or step down and create a <br> vacancy to be nominated and voted for at the Club <br> AGM. |
| Does this mean that the <br> Mens and Ladies AGM would <br> be held earlier? | Most likely, YES. The Mens and Ladies Presidents <br> would need to be known if the current practice is to <br> be maintained. The sub-committees can still |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { nominate for Board membership in addition to the } \\ \text { Presidents. } \\ \text { While the Constitution should mainly focus on the } \\ \text { Board election, existing sub-committee processes } \\ \text { can also be proscribed in the Constitution where } \\ \text { appropriate. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Would the Board members } \\ \text { still have to come from the } \\ \text { sub-committee? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Not necessarily. The nomination and election of } \\ \text { Board members at the AGM could see an } \\ \text { independent Board member elected if nominated in } \\ \text { line with the rules of the revised Constitution. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Why would members want } \\ \text { an independent candidate } \\ \text { nominated? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { An independent Board member could bring a skillset } \\ \text { or view to the Board without necessarily being } \\ \text { involved in the administrative aspects of the sub- } \\ \text { committees. Independence generally provides } \\ \text { improved governance practices and accountability. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Is there a maximum term } \\ \text { that Board members can } \\ \text { serve? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The BT Template allows for a maximum term to be } \\ \text { set, as well as the period between subsequent } \\ \text { nominations. } \\ \text { The clause is OPTIONAL. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Do all Board members have } \\ \text { to be elected? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The BT Template does allow for up to two } \\ \text { "Appointed" Board members where the Board } \\ \text { identifies that it lacks specific skill sets. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Are we required to have the } \\ \text { ability to have appointed } \\ \text { Directors? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { NO. The Law does not require it. However BA and BT } \\ \text { have adopted the practice to allow its use at the } \\ \text { Board's discretion, should the need be identified. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Is there an example of where } \\ \text { an appointed Director could } \\ \text { be required? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { YES. If the Club did not have an accountant amongst } \\ \text { its members, one could be appointed by the Board }\end{array} \\ \text { Appointed Directors would bring some specific } \\ \text { skillset to the Board such as Financial, Legal or other } \\ \text { specialist knowledge. They would be used as } \\ \text { external advisers for the duration that the Board }\end{array}\right\}$

## How and when is the change proposed?

A special General Meeting is required to be held and it is proposed to do that before commencement of the Pennant bowling season.

ATTACHMENT 1: PROPOSED RELATIONSHIPS DETWWEN BOARD, SUB COMMITTEES, CONSTIUTUTUION AND BY-LAWS



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Associations Incorporation (model Rules) Regulations 2017 - Paragraph (Rule 26(6)).

